

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,449	07/05/2001	Eric Jurgen Van Der Zwan	NL 000364	4094
24737	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CHAU, COREY P	
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J			2644	A
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/200	4 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/899,449	VAN DER ZWAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Corey P Chau	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		:				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Intention Commercial	(PTO 412)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5 and 7.</u>	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Tridemark Office	-/ <u>-</u> -/					

Application/Control Number: 09/899,449

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the lowest signal frequency" in line 15.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the gain" in line 18.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the first integrator" in line 1.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/899,449

Art Unit: 2644

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6539096 to Sigwanz et al. (hereafter as Sigwanz) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5471209 to Sutterlin et al. (hereafter as Sutterlin), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5577129 to Ehara.

Regarding Claim 1, Sigwanz discloses a combination of a microphone and a sigma-delta converter having an input connected to an output of the microphone (Fig. 1, references 1a, 1b, 2a, and 2b), to convert a signal generated by the microphone into a digital signal at the output of the sigma-delta converter (abstract). Sigwanz discloses a sigma-delta converter, but only generally; no specific hardware or software is taught. Therefore it would have been obvious of one of ordinary skill to seek known sigma-delta converter. Sutterlin for example discloses a sigma-delta converter comprising an analog difference summer, a loop filter, a one-bit quantizer, a sampler, and one-bit D/A converter in a feed back structure (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to employ any known sigma-delta converters, such as that of Sutterlin. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the combination of Sigwanz with the teaching of Sutterlin to utilize the sigma-delta converter of Sutterlin to convert a signal generated by the microphone into a digital signal at the output of the sigma-delta converter. Sigwanz as modified discloses a combination of a microphone and a sigma-delta converter, but does not expressly

Application/Control Number: 09/899,449

Art Unit: 2644

disclose a bias signal supplied to the microphone by the sigma-delta converter. It is inherent though that the sigma-delta converter has a power supply. Ehara discloses a combination of a power supply circuit and a bias circuit disposed between two components instead of separate power supply circuit and a separate bias circuit in order to reduce number of parts in the power supply system. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Sigwanz with the teaching of Ehara to incorporated a combination of a power supply circuit and bias circuit disposed between two components such as the microphone and the sigma-delta converter of Sigwanz in order to supply power to the sigma-delta converter and a bias signal to the microphone which would reduce number of parts in the power system.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 to 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corey P Chau whose telephone number is (703)305-0683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W Isen can be reached on (703)305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 8, 2004

SPE, Art Unit 264